

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

## ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD CT 06905-5619

COPY MAILED

JAN 1 0 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Boche, Hartmut

Application No. 09/625,792

Filed: July 26, 2000

Attorney Docket No. 02581-P0316A

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition, filed December 7,2007, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 (no fee) requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to reply to the non-final Office action mailed April 25, 2007, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on November 15, 2007.

Petitioner asserts that the Office action dated April 25, 2007 was not received.

A review of the written record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Office action, and, in the absence of any irregularity, there is a strong presumption that the Office action was properly mailed to the practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Office action was not in fact received. In this regard, the showing required to establish the failure to receive the Office action must consist of the following:

- 1. a statement from practitioner stating that the Office action was not received by the practitioner;
- 2. a statement from the practitioner attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received; and
- 3. a copy of the docket record where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received must be attached to and referenced in the practitioner's statement.

See MPEP § 711.03(c) under subheading "Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure to Receive Office Action," and "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993).

The petition satisfies the above-stated requirements. Accordingly, the application was not abandoned in fact.

In view of the above, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby  $\underline{\text{vacated}}$  and the holding of abandonment  $\underline{\text{withdrawn}}$ .

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center technical support staff of Art Unit 3764 for re-mailing the Office action of April 25, 2007. The period for reply will run from the mailing date of the Office action.

Liana Walsh

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions